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Guess who builds more multi-axis CNC hobbing machines for automotive-size gears?

American Pfauter, of course.

For example, our new PE150 represents the best of high production capability plus flexibility for hobbing gears up to 6-in. Because of high dynamic rigidity, it’s capable of using the latest in TiN and carbide tool technology. Setup times are reduced as much as 85% because change gears and mechanical gear trains have been replaced with trouble-free electronic controls.

Simple CNC operation is assured with a variety of available software. Dialogue programming covers all operational sequences, walks the operator through data entry and alerts him to conflicting or illogical data. A diagnostic program simplifies maintenance procedures by detecting and displaying errors directly on the CRT.

Flexible automation is a reality with the PE150’s integral workhandling system which combines unsurpassed speed and versatility—even where medium or small production lots dictate frequent retooling during one shift.

Faster tool change is accomplished with the quick hob change system which saves more than 90% of tool changing time while assuring hob concentricity independent of operator skill. A tool magazine with automatic changer is also available.

Shorter machining times. Shorter changeover times. Faster tool change. Reduced idle times. Lower operating costs. All at a price no higher than conventional hobbing machines. For additional information, contact American Pfauter Ltd., 925 East Estes Avenue, Elk Grove Village, IL 60007 U.S.A. (312-640-7500).

CIRCLE A-1 ON READER REPLY CARD
Can you answer these tough gear processing questions?

If you're not sure, make plans to attend the Gear Process Dynamics Clinic on May 19-21 or October 6-8, 1985. You'll learn how to apply the latest technology to your company's gear manufacturing and measuring problems.

What subjects are covered
- soft and hard gear dynamics
- achievable gear accuracies by machining method
- AGMA and DIN gear tooth element accuracy classifications
- gear noise sources and controls
- measuring methods and practices
- gear cutting/finishing machine kinematics
- multi-thread hobbing
- tool inspection methods
- CNC gear hobbing
- flexible gear manufacturing systems, automation and robotics
- carbide milling and hobbing small and large gears
- hard gear carbide finish skiving
- gear grinding systems
- CBN CNC controlled form grinding of gears and splines...and much more

Who should attend
The American Pfauter Gear Process Dynamics Clinic is a two-day intensive course on metal removal and measuring techniques for spur and helical gears. The clinic is structured for manufacturing and process management who have a basic understanding of gear geometry, nomenclature and some gear manufacturing or gear quality assurance experience. The clinic takes an in-depth look at modern methods, practices and hardware in gear manufacturing and measuring, including in-plant demonstrations at American Pfauter's Elk Grove Village plant.

What clinic graduates say
"The selection of material covered was excellent. The visual aids, handouts and actual demonstrations were very good."—Manufacturing Engineer

1. Is CNC hard finishing of high production gears less expensive than gear shaving?
2. How can you produce gears for grinding without pre-grind shaping or hobbing?
3. Is automatic tool and fixture changing economically justifiable?
4. How flexible are flexible gear manufacturing cells and systems?
5. Can you use a class B hob to finish an AGMA class 12 gear?

"Your bound edition of Gear Process Dynamics is absolutely first class. Its mere existence sets American Pfauter apart from all competition."—Company President

"The Gear Process Dynamics Clinic was the most thorough presentation of modern gear technology in the industry. I highly recommend it to everyone."—Shop Superintendent

How to register
The clinic will be held May 19-21 and October 6-8, 1985 at Indian Lakes Resort, Bloomingdale, IL. Please call 312-640-7500 early to reserve your place. To enhance the learning environment, clinic attendance will be strictly limited to 60 people on a first-come, first-served basis. Fee of $450 per person covers clinic and all materials, but not hotel accommodations. An acknowledgement letter with hotel and ground transportation information will be sent to all registrants 2 weeks before the clinic. Payment may be made by check or purchase order to: American Pfauter Ltd., 925 East Estates Avenue, Elk Grove Village, IL 60007.

AMERICAN PFAUTER LIMITED
World-class gearmaking technology in hobbing
- grinding • shaping • hard gear finishing
- Inspection • gear cells and systems
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A New Dimension For Spiral Bevel Gear Generation

Hard skived, fully conjugate, spiral bevel gears at cut and lapped prices.

Hard finished gears by the Klingelnberg HPG-S process using BZN* coated blades, meet or exceed the quality of the more expensive ground spiral bevels.

The Klingelnberg Cyclo Palloid System uses a parallel depth gear tooth design that results in higher power transmission, smaller gear diameters and quieter gear operation. By the proper selection of design parameters, excellent adjustability is obtained without sacrificing load carrying capacity.

Whatever your spiral bevel gear needs may be, Klingelnberg can offer a more accurate and cost effective solution on gears to 80 inches in diameter.

We invite you to call on Klingelnberg from the initial engineering concept, where our gear application experience can be used, to select the best system design and the most economical manufacturing methods.

To determine what our new dimension can do for you, contact: Klingelnberg Corporation 15200 Foltz Industrial Parkway Strongsville, Ohio 44136 or call (216) 572-2100.

* BZN is a trademark of General Electric Company

A New Dimension In Computerized Gear Design Assistance

Geared to Progress
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Leonardo persistently worked on designs to improve gearing and bearings in order to reduce frictional resistance. It appears that he was one of the first people to suggest that pivots could be nested in bearings made of semiprecious stones and even diamonds. Furthermore, he used his great genius to improve the construction of gear wheels.

A portion of his early designs dealt with ensuring the precision and reliability of timepieces. The cover design is one of four in which he was searching for a better way to equalize the force of the clock spring. In this design, he suggests a volute gear on the spring barrel which would mesh with a sliding pinion guided by the edge of the volute. There are problems with this design as the straight guide on the side at the center of the volute would interfere on the inside curve of the volute. This interference would increase as the center of the volute was approached. It seems apparent that this design represents a tentative concept rather than a functioning mechanism.
A job well done

Why have so many important gear companies purchased BHS-HÖFLER gear grinding machines?

Because they appreciate extremely high accuracy, output and technical back-up!

We train their planning, operating and maintenance staff, install and run off machines to all guaranteed specifications.

Our engineering department will assist in gear design, fixturing tooling and process calculation.

We maintain profitable gear production by providing immediate service and parts from our Clinton, N. J. Corporation.

A job well done

Now, if you assume all this is expensive, why don’t you try us? You will be surprised.

BHS - DR.-ING. HÖFLER CORP., 65 Route 22, Clinton, N. J. 08809
Phone: (201) 735-8995, Telex: 380 576
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This issue, our sixth, marks the 1st Anniversary of GEAR TECHNOLOGY, The Journal of Gear Manufacturing. During the past year, I have met many of our readers at technical conferences, in their plants, or when they have visited our affiliate, CADILLAC MACHINERY CO. The enthusiastic response and encouragement I have received has been very gratifying to my staff and me. I would like to especially thank our advertisers, without whose support this magazine, the gear industry's magazine, would not be possible.

Special recognition and thanks to my very able Associate Publisher, Peg Short, who is responsible not only for turning manuscripts into error free articles, but also for the overall design and production excellence throughout the magazine. Also deserving special thanks is my brother, Richard, who spent countless nights working on the thousands of subscription cards you’ve sent back. And without the seven day-a-week effort of Debbie Donigian, we would not have our new mail list software installed, debugged and properly converted.

This next year, GEAR TECHNOLOGY will continue to bring you various articles presented at technical conferences and symposiums, and new articles about discoveries, techniques, theories and applications — to help you produce a better gear at a lower cost. It is our hope that this information will help the United States maintain a viable and competitive gear industry.

Thank You

Michael Golstein
Editor/Publisher
Dear Editor,

This letter is in response to your article asking the readers where their interests lie. The division of Rockwell International where I work has engineering departments in Cicero, Illinois and Preston, England, each having its own gear standards department. This has caused a few differences because we use AGMA standards plus information published in the U.S.A. while Preston uses DIN, BS standards plus information published in Europe.

Our division builds newspaper printing presses which, because of geometry, use many non-standard gears. To my knowledge, we have always used the Zahorski method (Van Keuren) to determine the tooth thickness and measurement over wires. I have heard of a few instances when the gear set was placed on centers and we did not get the proper backlash. Some of these problems have occurred when checking gears with high helix angles or with low numbers of teeth. I realize that this method has been in existence since May 8, 1951, but I still wonder if there is a discrepancy in the geometry or equations.

My Preston counterpart is advocating the European belief of addendum modification coefficients which modify every gear to some extent. What happens to interchangeability when all gears are modified? Can addendum modification be used with more than one mate? Have the Europeans found a key to avoid problems the Zahorski method has (if there are problems) or have they created different problems?

Is there anything in AGMA or elsewhere (ANSI) describing tooth proportions for non-standard gears and measurement over wire calculations? What is the preferred method in the U.S.A. for determining tooth thickness and measurement over wires?

Thank you for your assistance.

Edward Ubert
Rockwell International

Reference:
Precision Measuring Tools, Handbook #37, by Van Keuren Co. page 138-139.
DIN 3960 German Standard
PD 6457 British Standard
VSM 15525 Swiss Standard
PD 436 British Standard
Gear Tooth Scoring Design Considerations for Spur and Helical Gearing

by

Peter Lynwander
Breeze-Eastern Corp., Union, N.J.

Introduction

High speed gearing, operating with low viscosity lubricants, is prone to a failure mode called scoring. In contrast to the classic failure modes, pitting and breakage, which generally take time to develop, scoring occurs early in the operation of a gear set and can be the limiting factor in the gear's power capability.

Scoring is a form of surface damage on the tooth flank, which occurs when overheating causes the lubricant film to become unstable allowing metal to metal contact. Local welding is initiated and the welded junctions are torn apart by the relative motion of the gear teeth resulting in radial score marks. Figs. 1, 2 and 3 illustrate degrees of the scoring phenomenon. Light scoring, which does not progress, may be acceptable, but heavier scoring can destroy the tooth profile and lead to pitting and breakage. Also, scoring can result in noisy operation and the release of metallic particles into the lubrication system.

The American Gear Manufacturers Association Aerospace Gearing Committee has been investigating the scoring phenomenon for many years. AGMA Information Sheet 217.01, "Gear Scoring Design Guide for Aerospace Spur and Helical Power Gears," October, 1965, is a widely used method for predicting scoring based on Professor Blok's flash temperature index concept.\[1\] The Scoring Information Sheet is currently being updated and this paper is a review of the changes and additions being made.

In addition to the flash temperature approach, the minimum film thickness concept will be covered in the new publication. In the minimum film thickness approach, an elastohydrodynamic film thickness is calculated and compared to the asperity height to determine whether the lubricant film is adequate.

Fig. 1 - Light Scoring

Fig. 2 - Moderate Scoring

Fig. 3 - Heavy Scoring

Flash Temperature Index

The critical total temperature hypothesis (flash temperature index) appears to be the most reliable method of analysis presently used to predict scoring. It states that scoring will
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Now you can have quality-assured master gages through our computerized gear and spline QC system

Master gears which remain constant for years

Now M & M Precision Systems offers complete designing and manufacturing of master gears used in all types of gear inspection procedures...setting, rolling and sizing masters—spur and helical. Accuracy levels required for master reference, QC inspection or production grades are assured through testing with our computer-controlled Model 2000 QC System—the industry standard for automated gear and spline testing.

Shown above is the 2000 QC system at work checking a master gear. The blow up at the right shows the simple system transducer scanning a master gear for true universal gear inspection. Also shown is a printout of an involute check made under total computer control.

Strict, automated quality control is inherent in every manufacturing stage and, upon part completion, every aspect of material stability and dimensional accuracy is checked again so that our master gears will give you years of constant service. Before shipping, individual product records of every product are reviewed and certified. Calibration certificates, traceable to the National Bureau of Standards are supplied.

We also make quality-assured spline gages

Our plug gages and ring gages are manufactured under the same strict inspection procedures as our master gears. We make setting, alignment, and GO/NO GO masters in a variety of configurations such as sector, involute, serrated, tapered, and helical.

Other related products now available include:

- Comparators
- Rotolock Arbors
- Master Worms
- Helical Spline Plugs and Rings
- Index Plates
- Broaching Rings

For quotations or applications information, write or call M & M Precision Systems, 300 Progress Rd, West Carrollton, OH 45449, (513) 859-8273. Ask for our QC Master Gage brochure.

M&M Precision Systems
AN ACME CLEVELAND COMPANY
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occur when a critical total temperature, which is characteristic of the particular combination of lubricant and gear material, is reached.

\[ T_f = T_b + \Delta T \]

where

\[ T_f = \text{Flash temperature index, } ^\circ F \]

\[ T_b = \text{Gear blank temperature, } ^\circ F \]

\[ \Delta T = \text{Maximum rise of instantaneous surface temperature in the tooth mesh above the gear blank’s surface temperature.} \]

The gear blank temperature is difficult to estimate. It may be significantly higher than the bulk oil temperature.\(^2\) The heat transfer capability of the gear must be considered in attempting to estimate this parameter.

Often the blank temperature is approximated as the average of the oil temperature entering and leaving the gearbox.

One form of the fundamental flash temperature index formula is:\(^3\)

\[ T_f = T_b + \frac{C_f f W (V_1 - V_2)}{\sqrt{V_1} + \sqrt{V_2}} \sqrt{B_c/2} \]

where

\[ C_f = \text{Material constant for conductivity, density and specific heat} \]

\[ f = \text{Friction coefficient} \]

\[ V_1 = \text{Rolling velocity of pinion at point of contact, fps} \]

\[ V_2 = \text{Rolling velocity of gear at point of contact, fps} \]

\[ B_c = \text{Width of band of contact} \]

\[ W = \text{Specific loading, normal load divided by face width, lbs./in.} \]

For steel on steel gears, taking \( C_f \) as 0.0528, \( f \) as a constant 0.06 and adding a term taking surface finish into account\(^2\) the following equation results:\(^4\)

\[ T_f = T_b + \left[ \frac{W_{te}}{F_e} \right]^{3/4} \left[ \frac{50}{50 - S} \right] Z_t (n_p)^{1/2} \]

where:

\[ W_{te} = \text{Effective tangential load, lbs.} \]

\[ F_e = \text{Effective face width (use minimum contact length for helical gears), in.} \]

\[ S = \text{Surface finish (after running in), RMS} \]

\[ n_p = \text{Pinion RPM} \]

\[ Z_t = \text{Scoring geometry factor} \]

\[ Z_t = .0175 \left[ \sqrt{e_p} - \sqrt{\frac{N_p e_g}{N_e}} \right] \left[ \cos \beta \right]^{3/4} \left[ \frac{e_p e_g}{e_p + e_g} \right]^{1/4} \]

Note: Use absolute value of \( Z_t \)
where $e_p =$ Pinion radius of curvature, in.  
$e_g =$ Gear radius of curvature, in.  
$N_p =$ Number of pinion teeth (smaller member)  
$N_g =$ Number of gear teeth (larger member)  
$\phi =$ Pressure angle, transverse operating

50

The $50 - s$ term was developed by Kelly\(^{(2)}\) in an experimental program using gears with surface finish in the range of 20-32 RMS. For gears with surface finish rougher than this range, if the computed value exceeds 3, a factor of 3 should be used. For gears with surface finishes finer than 20, the resulting computed factor may be conservative.

The term $W_m$ must be adjusted to allow for the sharing of load by more than one pair of teeth. The following analysis, which modifies the tooth load depending on the position of the gear mesh along the line of action, was developed by Dudley using spur gears of standard proportions. If a more accurate prediction of tooth load sharing is available to the reader it would be appropriate to use that analysis.

$$W_m = KW_t$$

where $W_t =$ Tangential tooth load, lbs.

1. Unmodified tooth profiles

$$K = \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{3} \left[ \frac{\theta + \theta_{LD}}{\theta_L + \theta_{LD}} \right]$$

$$\theta_{LD} \leq \theta < \theta_L$$

$$K = 1.0$$

$$\theta_L \leq \theta \leq \theta_H$$

$$K = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3} \left[ \frac{\theta_O + \theta}{\theta_O + \theta_H} \right]$$

$$\theta_H \leq \theta \leq \theta_O$$

2. Modified tooth profiles

A. Pinion driving

$$K = \frac{6}{7} \left[ \frac{\theta - \theta_{LD}}{\theta_L - \theta_{LD}} \right]$$

$$\theta_{LD} \leq \theta < \theta_L$$

$$K = 1.0$$

$$\theta_L \leq \theta \leq \theta_H$$

$$K = \frac{1}{7} + \frac{6}{7} \left[ \frac{\theta_O - \theta}{\theta_O - \theta_H} \right]$$

$$\theta_H \leq \theta \leq \theta_O$$

B. Pinion driven by gear:

$$K = \frac{1}{7} + \frac{6}{7} \left[ \frac{\theta - \theta_{LD}}{\theta_L - \theta_{LD}} \right]$$

$$\theta_{LD} \leq \theta < \theta_L$$

$$K = 1.0$$

$$\theta_L \leq \theta \leq \theta_H$$

$$K = \frac{6}{7} \left[ \frac{\theta_O - \theta}{\theta_O - \theta_H} \right]$$

$$\theta_H \leq \theta \leq \theta_O$$

where $\theta =$ Any pinion roll angle (see appendix), radians

$\theta_{LD} =$ Roll angle at the pinion limit (form) diameter, radians

$\theta_L =$ Roll angle at the lowest point of single tooth contact on the pinion, radians

$\theta_H =$ Roll angle at the highest point of single tooth contact on the pinion, radians

$\theta_O =$ Roll angle at the pinion outside diameter, radians

A modified tooth profile would be one which has tip and/or flank relief rather than a true involute form.

The flash temperature index should be calculated at five specific points on the line of action and at several additional points of contact. The five specific points are:

1. Outside diameter of pinion
2. Highest point of single tooth contact
3. Pitch point (flash temperature rise will be zero since there is no sliding)
4. Lowest point of single tooth contact
5. Form (contact diameter) of pinion.

Fig. 4 is a typical plot of flash temperature rise along the line of action.

The most convenient way to generate a plot, such as shown in Fig. 4, is by the use of a computer program. By stepping through successive roll angles, the Flash Temperature Index can be calculated at many points. From Fig. 4 it can be seen that there will be two peaks, one during the arc of approach (pinion form diameter to pitch diameter) and one during the arc of recess (pinion pitch diameter to outside diameter). In order to achieve the minimum flash temperature index, the flash temperature rise in the arc of approach should be equal to the rise in the arc of recess. An optimum tooth design can be achieved by the use of long and short addendums. The computer program, starting with standard addendums (1/4/Diametral Pitch), automatically varies the pinion and gear addendums in defined increments until the optimum flash temperature is obtained. With the resulting long and short addendum designs of this nature, standard tooth thicknesses are no longer applicable. If standard tooth
thicknesses were utilized, an unbalance of bending stresses between pinion and gear would result. To optimize the bending stresses, the program enters a second iteration procedure which varies tooth thickness until bending stresses are balanced.

Fig. 5 presents the results of an aerospace industry survey\(^4\) correlating scoring to flash temperature index. From the data used in the study, it was assumed that a gear set with a calculated index of 276°F, or less, represents a low scoring risk. A calculated index ranging from 277°F to 338°F represents a medium scoring risk where scoring may not occur, and a calculated index of 339°F and higher represents a high scoring risk. The data presented in Fig. 5 reflects cases using SAE 9310 steel operating with MIL-L-7808 or MIL-L-23699 synthetic oil. The viscosity of these oils is approximately 4-6 centistokes at 200°F and 18-30 centistokes at 100°F. Mineral oils such as light turbine oils, used in high speed industrial applications, are more viscous and, therefore, can tolerate a higher flash temperature index.

The equation for the flash temperature index assumes a constant coefficient of friction of 0.06. If it is desired to calculate the coefficient of friction at each point on the line of action, the following equation can be used.\(^{15}\)

\[
f = 0.0127 \log_{10} \left[ \frac{3.17 \times 10^8}{\mu_o V_s V_t^2 / W} \right]
\]

where \(f\) = Coefficient of friction
\(\mu_o\) = Absolute viscosity, in centipoises
\(V_s\) = Sliding velocity, i p s \(\) see appendix
\(V_t\) = Sum velocity, i p s
\(W\) = Specific loading, lbs./in.

The equation breaks down at the pitch point where the sliding velocity is 0.0 and the friction coefficient goes to infinity.

Using a variable coefficient of friction, the flash temperature index formula becomes:

\[
T_i = T_b + f \left[ \frac{W_{te}}{F_e} \right]^{3/4} \left[ \frac{50}{50 - S} \right] \left[ Z_i (n_p)^{1/2} \right]
\]

\[
Z_i = \frac{0.2917 \sqrt{e_p - e_g}}{e_p + e_g} \frac{1}{\cos \theta} \left( \frac{e_p + e_g}{0.34} \right) \left( \frac{0.0127}{0.0127} \right)
\]

**Scoring Criterion Number**


\[
\text{Scoring criterion number} = \left( \frac{W_i}{F_e} \right)^{3/4} \frac{(n_p)^{1/2}}{(P_d)^{1/4}}
\]

where:
- \(W_i\) = tangential driving load, pounds
- \(F_e\) = contacting face width inches
- \(n_p\) = pinion RPM
- \(P_d\) = diametral pitch

Table 1 gives scoring criterion numbers for various oils at various gear blank temperatures.

If the scoring criterion number is above the values shown in the table, a possibility exists that scoring will be encountered. The gear blank temperature can be taken as the average of the oil in and oil out temperatures.

**Minimum Film Thickness Criterion**

Scoring is a phenomenon that will occur when gears are operating in the boundary lubrication regime\(^6\) rather than with a hydrodynamic or elastohydrodynamic oil film separating the gear teeth. The film thickness can be calculated\(^7,8,9\) and compared to the combined surface roughness of the contacting elements to determine if metal to metal contact is likely to occur. A criterion used to determine the possibility of surface distress is the ratio of film thickness to composite surface roughness:

\[
\lambda = \frac{h_{min}}{\delta}
\]

\[
\delta = \sqrt{\delta_p^2 + \delta_g^2}
\]

where:
- \(\lambda\) = Film parameter
- \(h_{min}\) = Minimum oil film thickness, in.
- \(\delta_p\) = Pinion average roughness, RMS
- \(\delta_g\) = Gear average roughness, RMS

The "Partial Elastohydrodynamic" or "mixed" lubrication regime occurs if the film parameter, \(\lambda\), is between approximately 1 and 4. At higher values, full hydrodynamic lubrication is established and asperity contact is negligible. Below a \(\lambda\) of 1.0 there is a risk of surface distress.
Table 1
Critical Scoring Criterion Numbers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kind of Oil</th>
<th>Critical Scoring Index Numbers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AGMA 1</td>
<td>9000 6000 3000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGMA 3</td>
<td>11000 8000 5000 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGMA 5</td>
<td>13000 10000 7000 4000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGMA 7</td>
<td>15000 12000 9000 6000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGMA 8A</td>
<td>17000 14000 11000 8000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 1065</td>
<td>15000 12000 9000 6000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mil-O-6082B</td>
<td>12000 9000 6000 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 1010</td>
<td>17000 14000 11000 8000 5000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synthetic (Turbo 35)</td>
<td>15000 12000 9000 6000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synthetic Mil-L-7808D</td>
<td>15000 12000 9000 6000 3000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Scoring number = \((W_t) \frac{3}{4} \frac{(n_p)}{P_{ij}} \frac{1}{4}\)

The minimum elastohydrodynamic film thickness is calculated as follows: (Ref. 7 and 8):

\[ H = \frac{2.65(G)^{0.54} (U)^{0.70}}{(W)^{0.33}} \]

\[ H = \frac{h_o}{R} \]

\[ h_o = \text{Minimum film thickness, in.} \]

\[ R = \text{Equivalent radius, in.} \]

\[ R = \frac{e_p \pm e_g}{e_p + e_g} \]

\[ \delta = \text{pressure viscosity coefficient, in}^3\text{lb (Fig. 6)} \]

\[ \frac{1}{E} = \frac{1}{E_1} \left[ \frac{1-\delta_1^2}{E_1} + \frac{1-\delta_2^2}{E_2} \right] \]

\[ \delta_1 = \text{pinion Poisson's ratio} \]

\[ \delta_2 = \text{gear Poisson's ratio} \]

\[ E_1 = \text{pinion Young's modulus} \]

\[ E_2 = \text{gear Young's modulus} \]

\[ W = \frac{W'}{E.R} \]

\[ W' = \text{Specific loading, lbs/in.} \]

\[ U = \frac{1}{2} (v_1 + v_2) \mu_o \frac{E R}{E' R} \]

\[ v_1 = w_p e_p = \text{rolling velocity of pinion at point of contact, in/sec.} \]

\[ v_2 = w_g e_g = \text{rolling velocity of gear at point of contact, in/sec.} \]

\[ w_p = \text{pinion angular velocity, rad/sec.} \]

\[ w_g = \text{gear angular velocity, rad/sec.} \]

\[ \mu_o = \text{absolute viscosity, Reyns (lb sec/in}^2) \]

\[ \mu_o = \frac{\mu Z_k}{6.9 (10)^k} \]

\[ \epsilon = \text{specific gravity (Fig. 7)} \]

\[ Z_k = \text{kinematic viscosity, centistokes (Fig. 8)} \]

**Closure**

An extensive survey of aerospace power gears operating with synthetic lubricants at high temperature revealed that calculated oil films were in the order of 0.000010 to 0.000020 inches. With surface roughness in the order of 20 RMS it can be seen that these gears are operating with \( \lambda \) less than 1.0; are in the boundary lubrication regime and, therefore, prone to scoring problems.
Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Roll Angle, θ (deg)</th>
<th>Friction Coefficient, f</th>
<th>Flash Temperature Rise, ΔT°F</th>
<th>Flash Temperature Rise, ΔT°F</th>
<th>EHD Film Thickness, h (μm)</th>
<th>Film Parameter, k</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15.32</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.41</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.58</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.60</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.69</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.78</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.87</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.96</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.05</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.14</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.23</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.32</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.41</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.50</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.59</td>
<td>0.028</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.68</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.77</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33.86</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34.95</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36.04</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37.13</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* f is variable
* °F held constant at 0.06

---

**We Can Solve Your Wear Problems**

Specialists In Precision Induction Heat Treating

- State-of-the-art heat treating equipment including 3 NATCO submerged process gear hardening machines and 2 AJAX gear scanning machines
- Specialists in hardening helical and bevel gears and contour hardening of gear teeth
- We can meet any production needs
- Write for free capabilities brochure.

American Metal Treating Company
1043 East 62nd Street
Cleveland, OH 44103
(216) 431-4492
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Advantages of Involute Splines as Compared to Straight Sided Splines

by
Tifco Spline, Inc.
Wixom, MI

Since the design of involute splines and their manufacture requires considerable knowledge, not only of the basic properties of the involute profile, but also of various other elements which affect the spline fit, and the sometimes complex principles underlying manufacturing and checking equipment, the question is frequently raised as to why the involute profile is given preference in designing splines over the seemingly simpler straight sided tooth profile.

As a matter of fact, the first spline coupling was a straight sided spline with one tooth: a shaft keyed to a hole. The simple expedient of increasing the number of teeth, in order to increase the load carrying ability of this machine element, led to the development of straight sided spline fittings.

More exacting requirements on torque transmitting splines compelled designers to look for ways to satisfy the following conditions:

1) To increase the contact surface without decreasing the minor diameter of the shaft or increasing the major diameter of the splined hole.

2) To insure full surface contact on the tooth flanks, independent of the unavoidable clearance between the teeth. Although the necessity of a clearance between spline fittings is not disputed, it may be pointed out that such clearance will not be negligible if the parts are heat treated after machining. The distortions caused by the heat treating process must be compensated for by sufficient initial clearance to permit assembly of mating splines.

3) To design a tooth profile which conforms to the stress distribution along the depth of the tooth, i.e. which is heavier at the root than at the crest.

4) To provide a tooth profile which can be easily produced by means of fast cutting mass production machine tools (hobbing machines, shaper cutters), not a profile which only appears simple in a cross sectional view.

Conditions #1 and #2 are especially important when intermittent torque loads on the shaft cause intermittent length reductions and, as a consequence, longitudinal sliding movements in the spline fitting.

The following discussion of these four requirements demonstrates clearly why the involute profile has been selected, out of all other possibilities, as the best spline tooth form which meets practical requirements.

**Condition #1**
A profile which is not straight radial should be acceptable, insofar as Condition #1 is concerned, calling for increased contact surfaces without a change in diameters.

**Condition #2**
Fig. 1 shows that straight sided splines, with the sides of the shaft tooth parallel to the center line cannot have surface contact on the side of the tooth due to the clearance between the mating parts: the shaft rotates against the splined hole when under load and the points farthest from the center travel faster than points near the minor diameter. Therefore, the tip of the tooth on the shaft reaches the side of the hole splines before any other point of the tooth side can contact.

The problems can only be overcome by making the amount of clearance proportional to the distance from the center. In fig. 2-A, this condition is satisfied since the clearances $C_1$ and $C_2$ are in proportion to the diameters $D_1$ and $D_2$. Such splines, however, with radial tooth sides are, by no means, the solution of the problem, due to the weak cross section of the shaft tooth at its root and the impractical shape of the splined hole.

Fig. 2-B shows how this condition could be satisfied with straight sided serrations. The clearance $C_1$ and $C_2$, subtending the same angle are in proportion to the diameters $D_1$ and $D_2$ at which they are measured. When the spline tooth rotates under load against the side of the female spline tooth, it matches this side along its whole active depth. Consequently, after it is rotated back into the position shown in fig. 2-B the angles 1 and 2, which represent the
JEIL Automatic Gear Hobbing Machines, available in 2 sizes:

**MODEL JDP-2**
- 26" Gear Diameter
- D.P. 4
- $46,500

**MODEL JDP-3**
- 32" Gear Diameter
- D.P. 3
- $64,200


PICCO Universal Chamfering and Gear Rounding Machine

MAX. MODULE 15
MAX. DIA. 24"
MODEL K15 $47,800

HOBLON High Speed Small Gear Hobbing Machine

- 120-12 D.P.
- Dia. 0.118 - 6.299"
- Prices start at $44,200

ILLIES AMERICA, INC.
5420 Newport Drive
Rolling Meadows, IL 60008
312-577-1144
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angles between the profile and a radial line through the intersection of the profile with reference diameter, are equal on the female and the male spline tooth. These angles are commonly called the pressure angle. This discussion shows that the requirement of surface contact demands equal pressure angles at the same reference diameter for both splines. The application of this principle, on the general case of splined teeth with curved sides, is shown in fig. 2-C. The pressure angles 1 and 2 are the same on both mating spline profiles. The advantages of curved tooth profiles will be discussed under Condition #4.

**Condition #3**

This condition will be satisfied by selecting a sufficiently large pressure angle, for instance 30°. An additional advantage of the large pressure angle appears in connection with case hardened splines and relatively fine pitches, where a small pressure angle is sometimes the cause of breakage, because no soft core is left at the root of the tooth. The larger pressure angle assures that such a core exists where it is needed.

**Condition #4**

If straight sided teeth could easily be produced on fast cutting machine tools, the development of the previous paragraphs would already present the solution of the problem. However, a straight sided spline can only be produced with straight sided cutters by milling, which requires time consuming indexing operations.

In view of the fact that the gear industry developed the machine element which conforms, not only to the first three conditions (presenting even a further improvement with regard to condition #1), but also to this last condition #4, the ideal of producing splines with the same shape as gear teeth appears as the next logical step. It is granted that splines of the serration type, as discussed above, could also be produced on fast cutting hobbing machines or on shaper cutters. The scales, however, are definitely turned in favor of involute splines, if the tool profiles are required for the two alternatives as compared.

It is possible to design a hob or shaper tool profile which will cut straight sided splines of any pressure angle. The development of this tooth profile requires either cumbersome calculations or time consuming layouts; but even after all of this work is completed, such tooth profiles present difficulties in manufacture and inspection. In addition to this, it would only be correct for cutting one gear of the pitch, pressure angle, and the number of teeth for which it has been developed. It could not be used interchangeably to cut any tooth form of the same pitch and pressure angle, regardless of the spline size. Besides these prohibitive disadvantages, the inherent inaccuracy of a tooth form on the cutter, which could not be generated by simple means, would cause corresponding inaccuracies of the tooth form on the part, which would defeat the purpose of the constant pressure angle, as outlined in condition #2. These difficulties restrict the general use of straight sided splines with constant pressure angle in the mass production of spline fittings for transmission of high loads. They are overcome by the application of involute profiles which can be easily generated by means of straight sided tools, by hobs with practically straight sided profiles, or by shaper cutters which themselves are produced by generation. It is true that this solution creates new difficulties in connection with producing small splined holes, since it is easier to produce a broach for straight sided splines than for involute splines. The involute spline broach, however, can, in many cases, be hobbed and, when grinding is necessary, is furnished with very satisfactory results by various tool manufacturers.

It should be borne in mind that the problem of providing a satisfactory involute profile, and at the same time the necessary back off (relief) angle, sometimes presents difficulties, but it is preferable to transfer manufacturing difficulties from the production line to the tool shop. Consequently, the involute splines have replaced straight sided splines in recent years to a very large extent, and they will continue to maintain a dominating position in the field of spline fittings.
CAN YOU GET CONSISTENT OVERPIN DIAMETER OF 0.0012" FROM COLD START?

You can, with Mitsubishi's new GA-Series Gear Hobbers!

Here's the reason why you can get such a consistent accuracy over hours of continuous running. To maintain the thermal stability of the machine, the hydraulic oil is maintained to a constant temperature by a refrigerating unit and the unit is isolated from the machine. The 36" wide 3-runway bed and heavy duty ball screws also play a big role in maintaining high accuracy.

Not only is the machine accurate but also can withstand heavy duty cuts due to the robust construction of the machine and an AC servo motor driven direct hob drive mechanism. A hydraulically controlled backlash eliminator on the indexing table permits "reverse hand conventional cutting" which gives 10 to 20 times more cutter life on your tools.

Another prominent feature of the machine is the conversational "MENU" programming. Just key in the gear and hob data and select the type of material you are going to cut. The computer of the CNC will do the rest for you. No calculation of cutter path or selection of cutting parameters.

Needless to say, with a full CNC machine, the changeover time can be reduced to a maximum of 90%.

These are only a part of what our GA-series can do for you. For more information, write to our Bensenville office below or call, area code 312-860-4220 NOW!

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SPECIFICATIONS</th>
<th>GA20NC</th>
<th>GA40NC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maximum part diameter</td>
<td>10&quot;</td>
<td>16&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum OP</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum radial travel</td>
<td>8.25&quot;</td>
<td>10.5&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum axial travel</td>
<td>10.5&quot;</td>
<td>10.5&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worktable diameter</td>
<td>8.45&quot;</td>
<td>16.5&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hob speed range</td>
<td>200-400rpm</td>
<td>200-600rpm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hob drive motor</td>
<td>1hp</td>
<td>1hp</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Design and Manufacture of Machined Plastic Gears

Part 1

Design Parameters of Plastic Gears

by

John H. Chen and Frank M. Juarbe
The Polymer Corporation
Reading, Pennsylvania 19603

ABSTRACT

The use of Plastic Gearing is increasing steadily in new products. This is due in part to the availability of recent design data. Fatigue stress of plastic gears as a function of diametral pitch, pressure angle, pitch line velocity, lubrication and life cycles are described based on test information. Design procedures for plastic gears are presented.

Introduction

The use of machined plastic gears is increasing in industrial power transmission applications. Cast or extruded gear materials of 50 mm to over 2 meter (2" - 84") in diameter are machined to desired dimensions and gear tooth forms. Nylons and acetics are the most widely used thermoplastic gear materials. They offer resiliency, resistance to wear and corrosion, noise reduction, vibration suppression, lightweight and minimum maintenance. This increased use is due in part to the availability of new data in design parameters, manufacturing and installation techniques to optimize utilization of the materials and to prevent premature failures.

Some test data on plastic gears are the results of earlier publications. Plastic gears are sensitive to frictional heat and hysteresis loss, which causes softening, expansion and deflection of the gear teeth. Tooth interference, caused by deflection and creep, can lead to different types of damage due to the viscoelastic nature of plastic gears. For better heat dispersion and to reduce contact stress, plastic gears are usually mated with metal gears. To measure plastic gear temperature in operation, a technique utilizing an infrared radiometer was developed and friction heat and hysteresis loss were investigated. Although plastic/steel contacts show rather low friction and some plastic gears can be operated without lubrication, the lubrication also has a considerable effect on the performance of the plastic gears.

The tooth deflection of plastic gears and low Hertzian stress of plastic/steel contacts results in generally higher gear contact ratio and smooth rotation of plastic and steel gear mates. A model to assess gear contact ratios of plastic/steel gear mates was proposed. A larger backlash allowance required for plastic/steel gear mates was suggested. Factors which influence performance of plastic gears are, to an extent, clarified by the works mentioned above and others. However, design data available to meet power transmission of plastic gears is still insufficient for most applications. The purpose of this paper is to fill part of this gap by presenting some pertinent design parameters based on experiments, which were conducted at conditions close to typical field applications. Design parameters as functions of diametrical pitch, tooth form, lubrication, pitch line velocity, stress levels and life cycles using cast type 6 nylon and other plastic gears are presented.

AUTHORS:

DR. JOHN H. CHEN is Project Leader for The Polymer Corp. where he has been employed since 1968. During this time he has been involved in various aspects of product development and application testing of thermoplastics. Dr. Chen received his BSME from Tainan College of Engineering and his Dr.-Ing. degree from the Technical University of Aachen, West Germany. He is the author of over 30 technical papers in the field of plastic engineering, and holds patents in manufacturing methods of nylon parts. Additionally he is a member of the American Society of Mechanical Engineers, American Society of Testing Materials, Society of Plastics Engineers, and a committee member of the American Gear Manufacturers Association on "Design Guide for Plastics Gearing."

MR. FRANK M. JUARBE has been with Polymer Corp. for the past ten years as Product Manager where he has been involved in the development of Cut Tooth Plastic Gearing and Sprockets. Prior to his work at Polymer, he has over twenty years experience in related engineering fields. Mr. Juarbe is a member of the American Gear Manufacturers Association and the American Society of Mechanical Engineers.

Test Gears

Industrial experience indicates that anionically polymerized cast type 6 nylon is one of the highest strength unreinforced thermoplastic gear materials available. The typical test material contains molybdenum disulfide for improved lubricity and wear resistance. Impact modified Nylon 6/6 and ultra high molecular weight polyethylene (UHMWPE) are known to be very tough and resistant to fatigue and notch sensitivity. The latter starting resin has a molecular weight of 2-5 million by solution viscosity test. Polyacetel (melt viscosity 3360 poise), which features strength and low moisture absorption was also selected for this test. Physical properties of these four thermoplastic test gear materials are summarized in Table 1.

Experiments
Maag Gear Inspection Center Model SP-42. Full CNC setup, operation and analysis of involute, profile, helix, pitch and runout in one setup. From 0° to 16.5° diameter gears including bevels and worms.

Lorenz Gear Shaping Machine Model LS-180 MP-Tronic. Full CNC including 5.9" vertical adjustment of stroking positions during one cycle. 7" diameter, 2.35" stroke.

**Geared to excellence**

The names Maag and Lorenz mean excellence throughout a full range of products.  
Gear hobbing, disc-cutter shaping, rack cutter shaping – models from 0.250" to 46 feet diameter  
Gear grinding – models from 0.800" to 197" diameter  
Gear Checking – models from 0° to 197° diameter

**MAAG GEARWHEEL COMPANY, LTD.**
P.O. Box 8023  
Zurich, Switzerland

**LORENZ COMPANY, LTD.**
P.O. Box 1556  
D-7505 Ettingen, West Germany

Exclusive U.S.A. General Sales Agent, Miller Associates, Inc., P.O. Box 304, New Berlin, Wisconsin 53151  
Telephone: (414) 785-1111, Telefax: (414) 785-1115  
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Test Method ASTM</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Cast Nylon 6 With MoS2</th>
<th>Nylon 6/6 Impact Modified</th>
<th>UHMWPE</th>
<th>Polyacetal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tensile Strength</td>
<td>D638</td>
<td>Mpa (psi)</td>
<td>84 (12,000)</td>
<td>52 (7,500)</td>
<td>33 (4,800)</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elongation</td>
<td>D638</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>12.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tensile Modulus</td>
<td>D638</td>
<td>Mpa (psi)</td>
<td>2,800 (400,000)</td>
<td>1,760 (255,000)</td>
<td>630</td>
<td>3,290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardness, Rockwell</td>
<td>D785</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deformation Under Load</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.8 MPa (264 psi)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melting Point</td>
<td>D648</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deflection Temperature</td>
<td>D789</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>215-227</td>
<td>250-260</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>165-170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tensile Impact</td>
<td>D1822</td>
<td>kl/m² (ft. lbs./in.²)</td>
<td>221 (105)</td>
<td>588 (280)</td>
<td>2,100</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific Gravity</td>
<td>D792</td>
<td></td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Absorption</td>
<td>D2790</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 Hours</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saturation</td>
<td></td>
<td>%</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: (1) All values shown are based on as molded dry specimens
(2) Specimens 1/8" Thick, 2" Diameter
(3) Published data of supplier

Test gears of 5, 8, 10, and 16 DP (5.1, 3.2, 2.5 and 1.6 modules) involute spur gears with 12.7 mm (½") face width were used. Tooth proportion was made according to AGMA standard 201.02 applying coarse-pitch, 20° full-depth involute form, except one series of gears with 14½° pressure angle. All test gears were cut with class A ground thread hobs. The test gears were “driven” gears. The “driver” steel pinion was hardened to Rockwell C44 and ground AGMA 390.03 Quality Number 13.

Test Equipment and Procedure
A four-square gear bench was utilized for fatigue testing of spur gears. The equipment consists of a variable speed electric motor, four-square mechanism, a torque-meter, a forced lubricating system with oil temperature control, a revolution counter, an electronic gear failure detection system and a digital panel instrument indicating torque or rotational speed. An overview of the test equipment is shown in Fig. 1.

Extreme pressure (EP) grade gear oil was heated to 120° ±2°F (49° ±1°C), while it circulated in a closed loop. After it reached this temperature, the oil was injected under pressure onto the departing side of the two meshing gears. The machine was started without load to run at the lowest speed for 10 minutes. Torque was then applied on the nylon gear,
in the direction that it acts as a driven gear, before the
machine speed was increased to test conditions. During the
run, especially in the beginning, the torque had to be adjusted
due to the creep of the plastic gear material. The adjustments
were made, so that the torque was maintained within ±2%
of its normal value throughout the test. The machine stopped
automatically when the gear failed or when the load exceeded
preset limits of high or low torque. The life of the gear could
then be read from the revolution counter.

Test variables used are gear materials, diametral pitch,
pressure angle, pitch line velocity and type of lubrication.
Test parameters are listed in Table 2. At least four stress levels
for each test parameter were applied.

The lubrication systems evaluated were continuous oil jet
lubrication, initial greasing and unlubricated (dry). For the
initial greasing test, a thin layer of calcium complex type
petroleum base grease was brushed on the flanks of test ther-

TABLE 2. TEST PARAMETERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gear Materials</th>
<th>Diametral Pitch P</th>
<th>Pressure Angle, Degree</th>
<th>Gear Dp Teeth</th>
<th>Gear Dp Teeth</th>
<th>Pitch Line Velocity ft./min</th>
<th>Lubrication</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cast Nylon with MoS2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3.6&quot; 18</td>
<td>3.6&quot; 18</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>oil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4.5&quot; 36</td>
<td>2.5&quot; 20</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>oil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14½</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4.5&quot; 45</td>
<td>2.5&quot; 25</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>oil &amp; dry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4.5&quot; 72</td>
<td>2.5&quot; 40</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>oil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4.5&quot; 45</td>
<td>2.5&quot; 25</td>
<td>680</td>
<td>grease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nylon 6/6, Impact Modified</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4.5&quot; 45</td>
<td>2.5&quot; 25</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>oil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4.5&quot; 45</td>
<td>2.5&quot; 25</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>oil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UHMWPE</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4.5&quot; 45</td>
<td>2.5&quot; 25</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>oil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyacetal</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4.5&quot; 45</td>
<td>2.5&quot; 25</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>oil</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: 1" = 25.4 mm 1000 ft./min. = 5.08 m/s

The form factor for thermoplastic gears, Table 3, was
determined on the basis that the worst load conditions oc-
cur near the pitch point (1), due to multiple pairs of teeth
sharing the load. The high contact ratio of thermoplastic gears
can be observed by high speed photographic technique. The
Lewis equation assumes that the gear contact ratio is 1. This
assumption presents a very practical way to assess fatigue
strength of thermoplastic gears and serves design purposes,
although it contains the factor of undetermined gear contact
ratio.

Earlier test results indicate that thermoplastic gears, when
properly designed and installed, fail eventually by root bend-
ing fatigue fracture. Evaluation of fatigue life of ther-

Gear Fatigue Strength

S-N curves of 4 different diametral pitches of cast nylon
6 (filled with MoS2) gears at pitch line velocity of 10.2 m/s
(2000 fpm) are illustrated in Fig. 2. Test points of these oil
jet lubricated gears, for each of the four diametral pitches,
can be connected approximately as a straight line on a semi-
logarithmic scale. The finest gears tested (16 P) could with-

Test Results and Discussion

Root bending stress $\delta_\beta$ vs. life cycles of the tested gears
was calculated from the Lewis equation:

$$\delta_\beta = \frac{F \cdot P}{f \cdot y}$$

Eq. (1)
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The Control:
Siemens General Numeric 3M
Version 4
- "Electronic Gearbox" eliminates all change gears
- "Operator Guidance" step by step M.D.I.
- Full CRT Display
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TABLE 3
TOOTH FORM FACTOR \( y \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of Teeth</th>
<th>Pressure Angle</th>
<th>20° Depth</th>
<th>20° Stub</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>.521</td>
<td>.603</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>.509</td>
<td>.572</td>
<td>.664</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>.535</td>
<td>.597</td>
<td>.688</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>.559</td>
<td>.640</td>
<td>.721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>.579</td>
<td>.681</td>
<td>.744</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>.611</td>
<td>.731</td>
<td>.788</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>.625</td>
<td>.779</td>
<td>.830</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>.650</td>
<td>.801</td>
<td>.855</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rack</td>
<td>.660</td>
<td>.823</td>
<td>.881</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

stand the highest root bending strength and vice versa. This can be explained by a higher contact ratio, i.e. more teeth to share the load, on fine pitch nylon gears.

For comparison, the endurance strength of 8 P, 14½° pressure angle gears were tested and the results charted with those of 8 P, 20° pressure angle gears (Fig. 3). The relationship between torque (load) and life cycle is linear and the two lines are approximately parallel. Gears with 20° PA are ca. 15% higher in load carrying capacity. Fatigue tangential force \( F \) at a life cycle is proportional to tooth form factor \( y \) as can be seen from Equation (1).

Root stresses of MoS₂ filled cast nylon 6 gears at 10 million cycles, from Fig. 2, are 6170, 4650, 3830 and 3180 psi for 16, 10, 8 and 5 pitches respectively. The root stress of other diametral pitches within the range tested can be illustrated as a curve (Fig. 4). Utilizing this curve, the fatigue life bending stress at 10 million cycles of other pitches can be obtained by interpolating the test points. Computer aided regression analysis show the root stress of other pitches can be expressed approximately as follows:

\[
\delta \beta = 28,992 - 25,637 (\ln P) + 9,578.47 (\ln P)^2 - 1,228.29 (\ln P)^3
\]

where \( \ln P = \) natural logarithm of diametral pitch

Gears of 10 P, 45 teeth and ½" face width machined from Nylon 6/6 (impact modified), UHMWPE and polyacetal were (continued on page 26)

Alina can supply you with a broad range of precision gear tools in a wide choice of sizes and types: fine and coarse pitch hobs; generating, single-position and worm wheel; shaper cutters; shaving cutters; master gears and gages. Choose from any standard HSS, ASP or carbide; pitches from .85 to over 300 DP; sizes from .160" to 16"; lengths to 20". Write or phone for catalog today.
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| Fig. 2 - Bending fatigue stress of molybdenum disulphide filled cast nylon 6 gears at 2000 fpm (10.2 m/s). Standard 20° full-depth involute gears of different diametral pitches, oil jet lubricated (5). | Fig. 3 - Torque vs. life cycle curves of gears with 14-1/2° and 20° pressure angles, oil jet lubricated (5). |
The new Star CNC horizontal hob sharpener is specially designed to bring the superior performance and longer life of Borazon® grinding wheels to this operation. The machine is capable of sharpening straight gash hobs up to 10" diameter and 12" long to AGMA "Class AA" tolerances.

Borazon® (CBN) is, next to diamond, the hardest abrasive known and has extremely high thermal strength compared to diamond. Successful CBN technique demands a stiff, rigid machine tool and intensive coolant flooding of the grinding zone. The Star Borazon® Hob Sharpener meets both these conditions due to its precise, rugged design and self contained coolant system with magnetic coolant cleaner and cartridge filter.

The CNC automatic cycle programming feature of this machine tool, a Star exclusive, eliminates all manual functions except for loading and unloading the hob. The machine is also very quiet (72 dba in cycle with approximately 68 dba background noise).

A number of CNC Borazon® Hob Sharpeners have been operating in automotive plants for some time with outstanding results. We offer fast delivery. Our machines are competitively priced. Service and engineering assistance is included. If interested, please write or phone for details.
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Operator's console has a grinding spindle load meter, a CRT screen and a keyboard for entering hob diameter, number of flutes, stock removal per rough and finish pass and number of passes, feed rate and right and left stroke limits. A CNC programmable controller is employed for sequence logic, feeding the grinding spindle slide, and positioning the hob spindle.
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run at 2000 ft./min. for the endurance test and the results including those of cast Nylon 6 are illustrated in Fig. 5. The results of regression analysis of S-N curves are shown in Table 4. Root stresses at life cycles of $10 \times 10^6$ are 4650, 4084, 3856 and 2730 psi for cast Nylon 6, impact modified Nylon 6/6, polyacetal, and UHMWPE, respectively. A very moderate decline in the slope of S-N curve of UHMWPE indicates the material is extremely fatigue resistant, while the steep decline of the polyacetal curve indicates sensitivity of the material to fatigue. All coefficients of correlation for different thermoplastic gear materials are close to ±1.0, which illustrates good approximation of S-N curves to straight lines. The small deviations are partially due to the high contact ratios of the gears. The slope of the S-N lines varies considerably with material.

**Effects of Lubrication and Life Cycles**

Fatigue root bending stress and life cycles of oil jet lubricated, initial greasing only and unlubricated (dry) cast nylon 6 gears are depicted in Fig. 6. The S-N curves form straight lines in the range of long cycle fatigue failures. The results of regression analysis are given in Table 4. Test points of oil lubricated and initially greased gears form approximate parallel lines and they show small deviations from each of the straight lines, while a much larger scattering of the points resulted with dry gears. Under dry conditions, the slope of the S-N line toward longer life cycles is steeper than those of two other lubricated types.

As indicated in Table 4, the root stresses of initially greased and unlubricated cast nylon 6 at life cycles of 10 million are

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gear Materials</th>
<th>Lubrication</th>
<th>Root Stress, psi at Life Cycles of $10 \times 10^6$</th>
<th>Slope S-N Line psi</th>
<th>Coefficient of Correlation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cast Nylon 6</td>
<td>Oil jet</td>
<td>4650</td>
<td>-1240</td>
<td>-.9723</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nylon 6/6,</td>
<td>Oil jet</td>
<td>4084</td>
<td>-1490</td>
<td>-.9973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyacetal</td>
<td>Oil jet</td>
<td>3856</td>
<td>-2324</td>
<td>-.9949</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UHMWPE</td>
<td>Oil jet</td>
<td>2730</td>
<td>-80</td>
<td>-.9978</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cast Nylon 6</td>
<td>Initial greasing</td>
<td>3380</td>
<td>-1063</td>
<td>-.9929</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cast Nylon 6</td>
<td>Un lubricated</td>
<td>1810</td>
<td>-1659</td>
<td>-.9334</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE 5.
LIFE FACTOR $K_1$ OF MoS$_2$ FILLED CAST NYLON 6 SPUR GEARS EXTERNALLY LUBRICATED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of Cycles</th>
<th>Diametral pitch or module</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16 P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 million</td>
<td>1.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 million</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 million</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

72.7% and 38.9% of that of oil jet lubricated gears. These values can be used as lubrication factors.

Life factor $K_1$ of greased and oil lubricated cast nylon 6 spur gears at 1, 10 and 30 million cycles are shown in Table 5.

Effects of Pitch Line Velocity

10P cast nylon gears were examined for fatigue strength at pitch line velocities of 680, 1200, 2000 and 4000 fpm (3.5, 6.1, 10.2 and 20.3 m/s) under initially greased conditions. Test points of each velocity can be connected as straight lines (Fig. 7). S-N lines of 680, 2000 and 4000 fpm are close to parallel. Root fatigue stress of 1200 fpm at 2 to 4 million cycles are higher than those run at 680 fpm. This may be related to properties peculiar to the test machine, such as natural resonance. At 10 million life cycles and over, where the gears are rated, the root stress appears to correlate with other tested pitch line velocity.

Relative root stress at 20 million cycles compare gear strength run at different pitch line velocities. Root stresses illustrated in Figs. 2 (except as noted), 4 and 5 are obtained at pitch line velocity of 2000 fpm. Correction factors for pitch line velocities are listed below:

- Pitch Line Velocity, fpm: 680, 1200, 2000, 4000
- Root Bending Stress, psi: 3856, 3442, 3030, 2851
- Velocity Correction Factor: 1.27, 1.14, 1.00, 0.94
- Calculated Factor: 1.27, 1.11, 1.00, 0.92

The velocity correction factors can be calculated approximately by

$$K_v = \frac{394}{(200 + v)} + 0.825$$  \hspace{1cm} Eq. (3)

where $v$ = Pitch line velocity, fpm

= .262 x $D_p$ n

Thermoplastics Gear Design – A Summary

The test results of fatigue bending stress described are used to obtain safe tangential force, safe torque and horsepower capacity of thermoplastic gears under various operational conditions. Conversely, knowing the required torque or horsepower to be transmitted, a gear design under specified conditions can be made.

Fatigue bending stresses under different test parameters are illustrated in Figs. 2, 4, 5, 6 and 7 and Table 4 using Eq. (1).

1. $\delta_1$ – Fatigue Bending Stress

$$\delta_1 = \frac{F_t}{P}$$

2. $F_t$ – Safe Tangential Force

$$F_t = \frac{S_{fat}}{P} f_y L_u K_v K_1$$  \hspace{1cm} Eq. (4)

3. $T$ – Safe Torque

$$T = \frac{D_p}{2} F_t$$  \hspace{1cm} Eq. (5)

4. $HP$ – Horsepower Capacity

$$HP = \frac{F_t \nu}{33,000} \text{ or } \frac{T_n}{63,000}$$  \hspace{1cm} Eq. (6)

In obtaining the fatigue bending stress and velocity factor of gears, interpolation of the results using Fig. 5 and Eq. (3)
are permissible. However, extrapolation of the results to untested pitch as well as velocity ranges can be very misleading.

To determine the allowable bending stress $S_{as}$ in Eq. (4), 75% of fatigue root bending stress is used for externally lubricated cast nylon 6 and impact modified Nylon 6/6. Tooth form factor $\gamma$, in Table 3, is used for pressure angle or tooth form variables. For initial greased unlubricated cast nylon 6 (filled with molybdenum disulphide) gears lubrication factors $L_j$ of 72.7% and 28.9%, respectively, are to be applied. Life factors in Table 5 apply to grease and oil jet lubricated cast nylon 6 spur gears.

**NOMENCLATURE**

- $D_p$ = pitch diameter
- $F$ = tangential force at pitch circle
- $f$ = gear face width
- $F_s$ = safe tangential force
- $HP$ = horsepower cavity
- $K_j$ = life factor
- $K_v$ = velocity factor
- $L_j$ = lubrication factor
- $m$ = module
- $D_p$ = material factor
- $F$ = normal force
- $f$ = gear face width
- $F_s$ = safe normal force
- $H$ = actual horsepower
- $K_j$ = life factor
- $K_v$ = velocity factor
- $L_j$ = lubrication factor
- $m$ = module
- $n$ = gear rotational speed, rpm
- $P$ = diametral pitch
- $S_{as}$ = allowable root bending stress
- $T$ = safe torque
- $v$ = pitch line velocity
- $y$ = tooth form factor for load applied near pitch circle
- $S_{fr}$ = root fatigue bending stress

**References**


No matter how you cut it; keyway, slot, serration, special form...any internal configuration...no one has a Keyseater like Mitts & Merrill. With 90 years of experience behind every piece of precision machinery, our engineers can recommend the right Keyseater that will give you both economy, and long service-life. Cut it with the best.
A new and better way to broach blind splines

Cutting deeper blind splines with improved dimensional accuracy and surface finish is now possible with a new Apex machine design. Conventional technology required mounting the tooling on a dial table which limited the number of possible stations. On the new Apex machine, the tooling is mounted on a reciprocating table. Here enough stations can be provided to enhance part quality, tool life and to cut deeper splines. If all stations aren’t used the table can be short-stroked to reduce cycle time. Of great advantage to the user is that tooling is readily accessible for fast change-over.

A part being loaded under the front ram. Rear ram is stroking down. (Guards removed for picture clarity.)

Progressive tooling for two different parts. Spline surfaces are broached within 0.001-in. TIR from locating surfaces.

Typical parts before and after splines are broached. Splines can be external, internal, or both.

Shown is a twin-ram in-line-transfer broaching machine that cuts blind splines in two different parts, one in each table direction. One part is loaded while the other is broached. With two rows of 12 tool stations this machine performs operations that are not possible on conventional dial type equipment.

If you have machining to do where a through-stroke of the tool can not be made, as in cutting blind splines, keyways, or blind holes (of any shape), call APEX. We can help.

APEX BROACH & MACHINE CO.
6401 E. Seven Mile Rd., Detroit, MI 48234
313/891-8600

DESIGNERS AND BUILDERS OF STANDARD AND SPECIAL BROACHING MACHINES AND HYDRAULIC PRESSES/BROACH TOOLS/TEXTURES/MOLDERS/PULLERS/SHARPENERS/CUSTOM AND PROTOTYPE BROACHING
Gear Inspection and Chart Interpretation

by

Robert H. Moderow
ITW Illitron

Much information has been written on gear inspection, analytical, functional, semiautomatic and automatic. In most cases, the charts, (if you are lucky enough to have recording equipment) have been explained. If there is an error in the gear, however, there is little information to tell you what the causes of the errors might be, or to offer some idea on how to correct them. This article attempts to offer some rudiments of “what to do next”.

Figs. 1-3 show typical involute and lead charts, as well as the methods used to check runout and spacing on all the Analytical Gear Checks shown in this presentation. These charts can be used as a guide to test results of a normal, error-free gear.

Fig. 1—Involute charts were made on a variable involute measuring instrument and charted.

A typical functional rolling chart is shown in Fig. 4. Runout and wobble can be readily found from this type of check, but involute, spacing and lead errors are difficult to find. The tooth-to-tooth composite variation is not the part’s spacing errors, but is a composite of lead, involute and spacing errors, as well as nicks, etc. Wobble or face runout will show up as a single or double runout pattern. However, sometimes the pattern of the error in the functional check can lead you to the cause of the error. Fig. 5 could be of some help and is self-explanatory.

Runout

Fig. 6 shows all the analytical checks (lead, involute, spacing and runout) on a given gear, when the gear is mounted and inspected on the same center as it was machined. This particular gear is of very good quality, AGMA Class 12 or 13. Note that the gear was checked in three different positions, and all the checks are basically alike. In order to demonstrate the chart readings indicating runout, the gear was then mounted with runout and checked again. The results are shown in Fig. 7. All three checking positions gave basically the same checks, but the runout shows up, as it should, and this runout affects the tooth spacing and the involute. The lead is not affected, and would not be, unless the gear had lead and involute modifications, or was helical. (Note — runout does affect the gear’s involute, but this error shows up more on small numbers of teeth. Also, the involute varies from plus to minus, depending on the tooth checked.)
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Fig. 2—Lead checks were made on a helical lead measuring instrument and charted.

Fig. 3—(Left center) Runout was checked using a ball and an indicator and charted. Tooth spacing was checked using a fixed finger and an indicating finger and charted.

Fig. 4A—(Below) Typical chart obtained when using a "Gear Roller".
Gear Makers Get More Equipment Choices at Cosa

Cosa is a major source for complete gear manufacturing equipment. This full line of production machinery from Cosa covers every operation: hobbing, shaping, deburring, shaving, hard gear finishing, hob sharpening, right through inspection.

**Fine Pitch Gear Hobbing**
- Koepfer Type 143, 153, 173
  - High precision
  - Flexibility—suitable to produce spur helical gears, worm wheels, worms
  - Hydro copying device for crowning
  - Universal automatic loading magazines
  - Variety of universal hob heads

**Gear Shaping High Speed**
- Karatsu GSM-25
  - Hydrostatic cutter spindle guide and release mechanism provides rigidity for heaviest cuts
  - Separate cutter, rotary feed and radial feed motors
  - Drive train with dual lead master index worms and wheels
  - All support systems externally mounted

**Gear Shaving**
- Koepfer Type 143, 153, 173
  - High precision
  - Flexibility—suitable to produce spur helical gears, worm wheels, worms
  - Hydro copying device for crowning
  - Universal automatic loading magazines
  - Variety of universal hob heads

**Gear Hobbing**
- KashiTufi KH-150 NC
  - Six axis control for mass production of gears
  - NC control speeds set-up, stores data for up to 150 gears
  - Quick-change hob system with auto clamping
  - Available with automatic cycles, loading and unloading

We also offer standard machines and others such as the KH-150 carbide hobber, KG-250 hob sharper and KD-1 gear deburring/chamfering machine.

**Gear Checking**
- Osaka Seimitsu GC-HP Series
  - These models cover sizes from 1 mm to 2500mm pitch diameter
  - Full CNC control
  - With options, will check all gear elements, including profile, lead, single flank and double flank rolling, pitch (spacing), size, eccentricity, and composite tooth action

Several machines used in a factory may be controlled by a host computer to provide permanent record of the accuracy.

For further information, contact COSA CORPORATION, 17 Philips Parkway, Montvale, NJ 07645, (201) 391-0700.
Wobble (Face Runout)

Fig. 8 shows the gear mounted with wobble (face runout) and inspected again at the three positions. This time, none of three checking positions gave charts which were alike. This depends upon the location of the pivot point of the wobble. Notice that a gear with wobble shows errors in all analytical checks, but they are of different amounts depending upon where the check is made relative to the wobble pivot point. Again, variation in the involute shows both plus and minus, but shows up more on small numbers of teeth, as in this test gear. The lead check shows variation (plus and minus depending on the tooth checked), and it is very typical of a gear with a wobble condition.

The charts which show variation (plus and minus, depending on the tooth checked) give you a very good indication of just why a gear should be checked by testing four teeth 90° apart. If this were not done, a bad gear could easily be passed as good by Inspection.

Studying these charts may help you to determine where to look when errors occur. For example, if a gear has runout, perhaps the work or inspection arbor is undersize, the blank hole is oversize, etc. If the gear has wobble, the mounting (machining or inspection), faces may not be square, work spacers not parallel (springing arbor), or the live center is running out. If you are stacking gears when cutting, and some gears check better than others, it may be that the good ones are at the center of pivot in a wobble condition. Also when stacking gears, if the blanks do not have parallel sides, one stack of gears may be entirely different from another. Subsequently, each load must be checked.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Uniform Error Tooth to Tooth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hob not accurate enough.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hob not running true.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Try shifting hob 1/2 to 1/2 pitch).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Machine</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Last output gears driving hob are worn or running out. Hob spindle end thrust bearings are loose.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Uniform P.D. Runout Error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gear blank running out or has wobble. Worm wheel worn or running out. (Check arbor for deflection when arbor nut is tightened).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non-Uniform Error Tooth to Tooth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Worm wheel on machine worn.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change gear or gears have excessive error.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Non-Uniform P.D. Runout Error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Worn worm wheel or change gears.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 6—Analytical inspection charts of a gear properly mounted, both at the time of machining and at the time of inspecting. Note that the charts are basically alike, no matter where the gear is checked.
Fig. 7—Analytical inspection charts showing the effects of runout, either at the time of machining or at the time of inspection, on the various gear elements. Note that the charts are basically alike, no matter where the gear is checked.
Fig. 8—Analytical inspection charts, showing the effects of wobble, either at the time of machining or the time of inspection on the various gear elements. Note that the charts are different, depending on the position of the check, relative to the pivot point of the wobble.
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Checking Undercut Gears

Fig. 9 illustrates a very common problem in checking the involute on gears that have undercut, such as pre-shaved, pre-rolled, pre-grind. In all of the previous gear types, the position and the amount of undercut is very important. Not only should the undercut be positioned properly in the flank of the gear tooth, to assure true involute to the last point of contact of the mating gear, but the amount must also be correct to avoid the possibility of shaving or grinding nicks or steps in the fillet area. You are getting an incorrect picture of the gear tooth's undercut, if the involute checking finger is not "hooked" sufficiently; the pictures and the resultant charts are self-explanatory. Fig. 9B shows a 15° hooked finger, would probably be necessary only for gears with a large amount of undercut, such as pre-grind. In most cases, a 10° hook would be sufficient.

CORRECTION

John C. Leming, V.P. Engineering Arrow Gear Co., Downers Grove, IL was not listed as co-author of "GEAR GRINDING TECHNIQUES PARALLEL AXES GEARS", which appeared in the March/April 1985 issue of GEAR TECHNOLOGY. Illustrations for that article were provided by the courtesy of: American Pfauter Company, Kapp and Company, U.S. Representatives, Elk Grove Village, Illinois; Barber Colman Company, Okamoto Company, U.S. Representatives; BHS Hofler, Clinton, New Jersey, Maag Gear-Wheel Company Ltd., Zurich, Switzerland; National Broach & Machine Division, Clemens, Michigan; Reishauer Corporation, Elgin, Illinois.
Hob Errors

The lead chart of a hob is an indication of the accuracy of the helical path of a hob’s teeth. A single thread hob can affect only the profile of the gear tooth. If the hob has a good lead, the gear’s profile can be good. If the lead is bad, the profile will most likely be bad. Fig. 10 shows a hob lead chart.

The charts shown in Fig. 11 illustrate the problems which result when mounting a hob incorrectly. Number 1 is a very good hob mounted properly and the resulting gear involute produced. Numbers 2-4 show the same hob mounted incorrectly, with the resulting effect on the hob’s lead chart (checked as mounted) and on the involute form produced on the gear.

As a hob cuts a gear, the top of the gear’s involute form is finish cut at a different point in time than the bottom. Because of this, when hobbing with heavy feed rates, the entire involute form will tend to drift. (Fig. 12) The resulting involute checks may vary on the same tooth across the face of the gear, depending upon just where the involute checking finger is positioned. This is not to say there is an error here, but just to explain why two involute checks may vary when checking the same tooth.
Fig. 11—These charts show the types of weave produced by various conditions of runout and the amount of error each type produces in a gear tooth.

Fig. 12—Involute checks on the same tooth vary if the gear is hobbed with a heavy feed rate. The involute drifts and one check may high point of feed scallop at pitch line, and another check may have high point at another place along the involute.
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Fig. 16
Possible cause:
1) Hob hubs running out—out of phase (high with low)
2) Hob has weave error in lead, out of phase, from thread to thread

GEAR HAS INVOLUTE VARIATION—ON CONSECUTIVE TEETH
TWO THREAD HOB CUTTING EVEN NUMBER OF TEETH

Fig. 17 — Possible cause:
1) Hob hubs running out—out of phase (high with low)
2) Hob has weave error in lead, out of phase, from thread to thread
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1) Hob hub(s) running out
2) Hob has weave error in lead out of phase, from thread to thread
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Fig. 20
Inspection Equipment Errors

Fig. 13 shows two involute charts which have errors resulting from inaccurate inspection equipment. Chart #1 (Fig. 13) shows actual charts of a gear having a 2.3492 base diameter. Chart “A” was made with a new involute finger which had its tip on center. Chart “B” was made with a hooked finger which was “sharpened back” and the finger tip was then off center by .022. This made a “short chart”, being off one degree of roll. (Note that all modifications will also be displaced one degree of roll.) Chart #2 (Fig. 13) shows two charts again, “A” and “B”, which are of the same flank of the same gear tooth, but turned end for end in the involute machine. When the charts do not come out identical as to the position of their modification relative to the degrees of roll, usually the machine is not centered properly. Most involute checking instruments are furnished with a centering gauge to check out this problem.

Lead Errors

Five lead charts with various errors have been grouped in Fig. 14. When dealing with lead errors, it is important to remember lead errors seldom, if ever, come from the cutting tool. They almost always come from machine misalignments, looseness, lack of rigidity, gear blank accuracy and mounting, etc. Chart #4, showing a lead error only on one side of the gear tooth near one end, usually occurs as the hob is breaking out of (or into) the cut. This is a result of machine or arbor deflections. This can be corrected by climb cutting, if the gear was cut by conventional cutting and vice versa. A more rigid setup of machine (such as larger diameter hob arbor) or lighter cuts may be in order. Chart #5 (Fig. 14) is a difficult problem, and is usually caused by machine misalignment, although, the hob could be at fault, if you are diagonal or oblique hobbing.

Involute Errors

Fig. 15 shows involute charts with various errors, all of which are self-explanatory. It is important to note, when using a single thread hob, if the involute error is uniform (essentially the same on all teeth), the error may be coming from the hob (accuracy, mounting, sharpening, etc.), but if the error is non-uniform, it is more likely to be coming from the machine.

Multithread Hobbing

Multithread hobbing has its own peculiar problems, somewhat similar to single thread hobbing, and yet quite different. Since more and more companies are going to multithread hobbing, it is important to cover some of these problems.

A drawing of a gear hob and the lead charts from both a single thread hob and a three-thread hob were shown in Fig. 10. The three-thread hob lead chart shows a thread-to-thread spacing error between thread #2 and thread #3. It is generally shown that if a gear with a number of teeth evenly divisible by the number of hob threads (3) were cut by this hob, the gear cut would have a spacing error approximately equal to the error in the hob. However, assuming a 3-thread hob had little or no spacing errors, because just a section of the hob teeth (one axial pitch or so) is finish cutting the gear, hob mounting would be very important. If not correctly mounted, it would have thick and thin teeth, spacing errors and runouts, which show up in patterns equal to the number of threads in the hob. Fig. 16 shows the condition and the result on the gear. In each case shown, the effective radius to thread #1 (R1) is shorter than the effective radius to the other thread(s), and R1 will cut shallower tooth spaces than the other thread(s). On the examples shown, the dimension over wires on the gears would be considerably different, from one tooth space to the next.

Again, when cutting a number of teeth in a gear which is divisible by the number of hob threads (non-hunting tooth), each tooth is cut by one given thread on the hob. Therefore, each thread will produce a given involute, and involutes may vary from tooth to tooth. This is shown in Fig. 17. In this case, the gear’s tooth spacing may or may not vary, depending upon the position of the spacing check along the involute.

The usual “rule of thumb” in multithread hobbing is to cut a number of teeth not divisible by the number of threads (hunting tooth). This is definitely advisable, as many of the errors may tend to “cancel out”, as each thread of the hob eventually passes through all of the gear’s tooth spaces. This would work effectively if the hob was not fed through the part. However, with today’s high feed rates, much of this “canceling effect” does not occur, and the errors are produced in sections along the gear’s face width, in each individual feed scallop. Many times, feed scallops can tell a story.

Fig. 18 illustrates a feed scallop pattern which varies in width along the involute. Since each feed scallop is produced by a
different hob thread, the involutes can vary by as many threads as there are in the hob and more. At the junction of two adjacent feed scallops, there is an "overlapping" area where the "canceling effect" does occur and another involute variation results. This is shown by the involute checks (Fig. 18). Trace #1 and #3 result from different hob threads producing that area (scallopl of the gear. Involute trace #2 is a combination of #1 and #3.

Figs. 19 & 20 show variations of feed scallops, in patterns relative to the number of threads in the hob.

Examples of feed scallops not being the same on both sides of the gear tooth or space are shown in Fig. 21. The "hunting tooth ratio rule" was followed, but the hob was mounted with runout on one end, or had severe thread spacing on one flank. If the hob is running out on one end, the side of the gear tooth with the twice normal size feed scallop will also have severe involute error. The other side of the gear tooth will have a much better involute.
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APPENDIX 1

Parameters for Calculating Friction Coefficient and Scoring Geometry Factor

\[ \theta = \tan \phi \]
\[ e_s = e_p \tan \phi \]
\[ e_s = C \sin \phi - e_p \]
\[ V_p = \omega_p e_p - \omega_s e_s \]
\[ V_p = \omega_p e_s + \omega_s e_s \]

Table 2 shows the results of a computer analysis of a high speed gear set with standard addendums. The flash temperature index is the maximum flash temperature rise, \(711^\circ F\), plus the gear bulk temperature \(160^\circ F\). The index of \(231^\circ F\) presents a low scoring risk (Fig. 5) which could be slightly reduced by optimizing tooth proportions. The calculated coefficient of friction is significantly lower than the assumed value of 0.06 with a corresponding lower flash temperature rise. The calculated minimum film thickness is 0.000020 with a \(\lambda\) term of 0.71 indicating operation in the boundary lubrication regime.
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ILLITRON'S MODEL 9400 CNC GEAR INSPECTION SYSTEM

Technology for the bottom line

In a world of ever greater technological achievements, differentiating ourselves, as a supplier, from the competition, requires a clear and dedicated focus on the bottom line — your bottom line.

The Model 9400 CNC Gear Inspection System is the most technologically advanced system on the market. But, most importantly, the 9400 was designed to create economic advantage for you — the user.

Consider the following:

- The Illitron Model 9400 completes inspection twice as fast as any other combination gear measuring instrument.
- Its superior dynamic rigidity and air bearing design assure sustained accuracy over long, trouble-free life.
- A four axis capability provides maximum versatility for greater machine utilization.
- Optional robotic loading interfaced with the central controller provides 100% automation.

Illitron has been the leading manufacturer of gear inspection equipment for 50 years. You expect the best from Illitron, and the 9400 delivers it — an exceptional gear inspection investment.
We’ve geared up
to give you the edge in gear making

Hard finishing, a process on the cutting edge of metal-working technology. A process that can give you a competitive edge in gear making. And only Gleason gives you a choice of two hard-finishing processes: carbide or CBN cutting—or exclusive CBN grinding.

Hard finishing (using super-hard materials to cut or grind the gear after heat treating) eliminates or greatly reduces process variations and offers significantly higher gear quality: AGMA 12 runout and pitch accuracies, 10-20 microinch CLA finishes (with little or no lapping), more accurate geometry for better load sharing, and less gear noise. You get manufacturing benefits, too: higher production rates, better process control, fewer inspection hours, less scrap, and lower overall cost.

Gleason machines and materials make hard finishing the final process for ultimate quality. The high-precision 643 hypoid grinding machine equipped with CBN grinding wheels is the only hard-finishing grinder on the market. And the 641, 645, 650, 655 and 675 generators deliver the extreme accuracy and rigidity that hard-finish cutting demands. Equipped with Gleason CBN or carbide-tipped cutters, they deliver incomparable results.

Which techniques and machines are the right ones for your operation? You can depend on Gleason to work it out with you. We’ve been solving gear-making problems for more than 120 years.

Get to know the 600 Series machines—and the new Gleason. For complete hard-finishing information, call 716-473-1000 or write Gleason Works, University Avenue, Rochester, NY 14692, U.S.A.

Gleason
Advanced concepts, traditional quality.
That’s the new Gleason.