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Abstract:

Plastic gears are being used increasingly in applications, such as printers, cameras, small household appliances, small power tools, instruments, timers, counters and various other products. Because of the many variables involved, an engineer who designs gear trains on an occasional basis may find the design process to be somewhat overwhelming. This article outlines a systematic design approach for developing injection molded plastic spur and helical gears. The use of a computer program for designing plastic gears is introduced as an invaluable design tool for solving complex gearing equations.

Introduction

As one of man's oldest mechanical devices, gears have been in use for thousands of years. It is no surprise that design techniques, manufacturing procedures and standards have been established based on the commonly available materials of the time, namely wood and metal. When plastic materials were first used as gears in the 1950's and 1960's, it was common to design them using existing AGMA standards for metal gears. For example, if a designer was considering trying plastic gears for one of his applications, he would usually take an existing product drawing specifying steel, change the material to nylon and leave the gear data intact. There were no standards at the time to guide the designer to do otherwise. It was also economical to consider cutting plastic gears with the available stock hobs and cutters.

Today, there are still no official "standards" available, but some tooth profiles have been developed especially for plastic gears and used successfully in industry. If the injection molding process is utilized, then the designer is free from the constraints of using stock hobs and cutters. Since special hobs are required regardless, (to compensate for mold shrinkages and EDM spark gap), the designer might as well incorporate additional modifications, such as full fillet radius, to optimize the strength of the gear teeth.

The modifications to the gear teeth mentioned in this article are not new to the gearing industry. Engineers familiar with gear design will recognize that the recommended modifications for strengthening the plastic gear teeth are the same ones specified for heavily loaded metal gears in critical applications.

In summary, this article describes the manufacturing process used to produce plastic gears, and explains inspection procedures.
impact resistant material; good electrical properties and chemical resistance. Difficult to injection mold small parts due to the material's elastic properties.

Polyester elastomer: Sound dampening; resistance to flex-fatigue and impact.

All of the above base materials can be formulated with fillers, such as glass fibers, for added strength, and PTFE, silicone and molybdenum disulphide for added lubricity.

ESTABLISHING DESIGN GOALS. Arriving at the specifications for the best possible gears given an application is a time-consuming operation when considering the following variables.

- **Load carrying capacity.** Strength equations are used to avoid tooth fracture at the root. They are derived from the Lewis equations for beam strength and have been modified for plastic gear teeth.
- **Smoothness.** The gear mesh should be designed so that binding will not occur at extreme environmental conditions.
- **Quiet continuity of action.** Ensure that the contact ratio is greater than 1.0, preferably 1.2, or simply stated, that at least one tooth is in contact at all times.
- **Cost.** If the gears are to be molded in plastic, the task becomes even more difficult to handle, especially since there are literally hundreds of formulations from which to choose. In addition, the use of non-standard hobs allows the design engineer to consider a larger choice of tooth forms, such as the six listed in Appendix A. Because of all the options open much of the engineer's work must necessarily be a process of substitution and elimination. This process involves numerous calculations, some of them quite complex.

SPUR AND HELICAL GEAR DESIGN — A SYSTEMATIC APPROACH.

Study of design parameters. The gear train design engineer is first required to make a study of all the information about the application available to him, such as the power to be transmitted, the ratio required, the speeds involved, the environment in which the gears will operate, the life expectancy and the space in which the gears are to be housed.

The designer then proceeds to make preliminary choices of numbers of teeth, diametral pitch, tooth form, type of material to be used and spur or helical design.

Determine load carrying capacity. The engineer now possesses all the information required to determine what power the gears can safely transmit. If the answer is too low, the effect of a coarser diametral pitch, a stronger tooth form, an increased face width, or plastic having higher allowable design stresses, singly or in any desired combination, must be investigated. The following is an example of an equation that can be used to calculate load capacity.\(^{(1)}\)

\[
HP = \frac{D \times F \times n \times J \times S_t \times K_T \times K_L}{126,000 \times P \times C_s \times K_R}
\]

where:

- \(HP\) = horsepower
- \(D\) = operating pitch diameter
- \(F\) = effective face width
- \(n\) = speed, rpm
- \(J\) = geometry factor
- \(S_t\) = tensile strength of plastic
- \(K_T\) = temperature factor
- \(K_L\) = life factor
- \(P\) = diametral pitch, or normal diametral pitch
- \(C_s\) = service factor
- \(K_R\) = factor of safety

If the calculations indicate that the gears would be pushed to their limits, developing prototype parts to perform actual life tests would be advisable.

Options available to optimize a gear mesh. In order to get the most out of the relatively low tensile strength of plastics, the tooth geometry can be altered several ways to be as strong as possible.

- **Full fillet radius modification.** The tooth form shown in Fig. 1 has a full fillet radius at the root to eliminate sharp corners that could result in high stress points. This modification can add up to 20% to the tooth strength. Teeth of heavily loaded metal gears are also designed with this feature.
- **Tip relief modification.** Tip relief starting halfway up the addendum should be another modification specified for plastic gears. When a tooth deflects under load, it can get in the way of the oncoming tooth of the mating gear. This can cause noise, wear and loss of uniform action. Tip relief is added to improve this situation. (See Fig. 2.)
- **Elimination of undercut condition.** If a standard gear has a small number of teeth, the lower portion on the tooth will be undercut as illustrated on the left in Fig. 3. This condition is undesirable in that it weakens the tooth, causes wear and inhibits continuity of action.

To eliminate undercutting, the circular tooth thickness should be increased over standard as shown in Fig. 3 on the right.

- **Balance circular tooth thickness.** If the gear teeth in a mesh are designed at standard, they will be the "weak link" in a power drive. The pinion tooth illustrated in Fig. 4 clearly shows the problem. (All dimensions are in inches.)

To design the gear teeth for equal beam strength, it is essential that the thickness at the root be equal, as illustrated in Fig. 5. With the use of available formulas, the tooth thickness of the pinion was increased from a standard of 0.0491 to 0.0640, and the gear tooth thickness was decreased from 0.0491 to 0.0480 to get an equal thickness of 0.0660 at the root.

Expansion and error considerations. Once the tooth thickness of each gear has been established, the next step would be the calculation required to determine the increase in center distance above standard to cater to all the factors that could otherwise cause the gears to bind in operation. The designer considers the following equation:

\[\text{AUTHOR:}\]

RAYMOND M. PAQUET is Engineering Manager at Plastics Gearing Technology, Inc., Manchester, CT, responsible for the design of custom plastic gears and related components. He received his BSME from the University of Connecticut at Storrs and an MBA from the University of Hartford. He is the author of other articles on plastic gearing and is a member of ASME.
The calculations enable the designer to specify tooth thicknesses and operational center distance. (1)

Calculate contact ratio. If one of the mating spur gears has a relatively small number of teeth, it is essential to check the pair's contact ratio, particularly if the gears are plastic. Plastics, in general, have high coefficients of linear thermal expansion. When the expansion differential between the gears and the housing in which they are mounted is great, and when the maximum environmental temperature is high, the center distance requires a significant amount of increase to prevent binding at those high temperatures. However when the same gears later contract at the lower temperatures, they may be out of mesh to an extent that the contact ratio becomes less than adequate.

One option available to get around this problem is to use a tooth form with longer teeth. (See Appendix A). For continuity of action the contact ratio must never be less than 1.0. It should preferably be at least 1.20.

The variables required to calculate the minimum contact ratio are the numbers of teeth for each gear, the diametral pitch, the minimum outside diameters, and the maximum operating center distance. If it is less than adequate, the designer can review the following available alternatives:

- tooth forms with longer teeth,
- non-standard diametral pitches (P = 48.5526, for example),
- materials with lower coefficients of linear thermal expansion,
- higher quality gears,
- tighter center distance tolerances,
- changes in the numbers of teeth in the gears.
Establish Drawing Specifications and Tolerances. The final step in designing a gear is preparation of a drawing which lists the gear data. It must be specified so that there can be no possibility of misinterpretation. This may seem obvious, but ambiguity in writing gear specifications has often resulted in costly and time-consuming changes to expensive molding dies. Appendix E illustrates the data that should appear on a drawing of a spur gear.

**Gear Design Example**

In this example we will suppose that the design engineer is assigned a project to work on a gear mesh for a special type of chart recorder.

Where does the engineer begin?

What procedure should be followed?

How should the analysis be done?

For this project the designer has decided to use the step by step approach described so far. Correctly used, the system will provide a design for gears having an adequate contact ratio, tooth profiles with no undercut, and sufficient clearance to insure that there can be no misinterpretation of the specification. Some preliminary assumptions are made to begin the analysis:

- Costs to be kept as low as possible.
- Material of housing to be polycarbonate with 20% glass fiber reinforced.
- The gears will be subjected to a maximum operating temperature of 130°F Fahrenheit (F).
- Ratio required is 2 to 1.
- Load is light; material strength is not a factor.
- Life requirement is 260 hours.
- Input torque is 4 inch-ounces.
- Input speed is 50 revolutions per minute (rpm).

**Begin Analysis**

(All dimensions are in inches.)

**Step 1.** Study of design parameters. Some preliminary assumptions need to be made to begin the analysis: 1) material of pinion and gear to be unfilled acetal; 2) spur gears will be used; 3) gears to have an accuracy equivalent to AGMA Quality No. Q6; 4) start with the PGT-1 tooth form to take advantage of the full fillet radius and the tip relief.

**Step 2.** Settle upon the numbers of teeth and diametral pitch. Given the spacing of the housing and the required ratio, the following have been chosen:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PINION</th>
<th>GEAR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Teeth</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diametral Pitch</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Step 3.** Determine the load carrying capacity. Although the loads are light, the designer performs the calculation to determine the load capacity. The required tensile strength of the material was calculated at 1,900 pounds per square inch (psi). Therefore, the unfilled acetal with a tensile strength rating of approximately 9,000 psi is a good choice.

**Step 4.** Determine the minimum circular tooth thickness (CTT) at the pitch diameter of the pinion to avoid undercut. The calculated minimum CTT = 0.0353. Assign a +0.000, -0.001 tolerance to the pinion CTT.

The preliminary data established so far are shown in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PINION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Teeth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diametral Pitch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure Angle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGT Tooth Form</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stand. Pitch Circle Dia.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outside Diameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circular Tooth Thickness (at pitch circular dia.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGMA Quality No.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max Total Composite Tol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max Tooth-To-Tooth Tol</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Step 5.** Expansion and error considerations (to determine the operating center distance). If perfect gears could be manufactured, the operating center distance would be 0.4712. However, the thermal expansion of the gears, gear runout and bearing runout must be considered to prevent the mesh from binding. The designer has calculated that the center distance must be separated by 0.0061 to allow for the possible variations in the system. Assuming a tolerance of +0.003, -0.000, the operating center distance (OCD) is specified at 0.4773 - 0.4803.

**Step 6.** Calculate the contact ratio (CR) for the established values. The following six input variables are used to calculate the contact ratio:

| number of teeth | N1 | 15 |
| number of teeth | N2 | 30 |
| diametral pitch | P | 48 |
| outside diameter | Do1 | 0.3610* |
| outside diameter | Do2 | 0.6580* |
| operating center distance | OCD | 0.4803* |

**Contact Ratio CR**

A contact ratio of 1.01 is too low. The designer must now attempt to raise it to 1.20. He knows that the molding department will have no trouble if AGMA Quality No. 7 gears were specified instead of No. 6, so he decides to find out what improvement this change might effect. The maximum total composite tolerance is now .0026 for both the pinion and gear. As a consequence, the maximum operating center distance is reduced from 0.4803 to 0.4793.

| operating center distance | OCD | 0.4793* |
| contact ratio | CR | 1.05 |

He now tries the effect of reducing the operating center
To eliminate undercut, the pinion will now be required to have a circular tooth thickness of 0.0424 – 0.0434. The minimum outside diameters of the pinion and gear will be 0.3796 and 0.6725, respectively. Returning to the cheaper unfilled acetal, a center distance tolerance of +0.003, -0.000 and AGMA Quality No. 7 gears, the designer tries again. The maximum center distance is now 0.4882

| outside diameter | Do1 | 0.3796" |
| operating center distance | Do2 | 0.6725" |
| contact ratio | CR | 1.20 |

Step 7. Check the load carrying capacity. Even though the loads in this application are light, the designer checks the allowable horsepower to determine what difference the new tooth form has made. If any change to the gear design is necessitated, it will amount to no more than a slight increase to the face width.

Step 8. Establish manufacturing and inspection data. Finally, the designer arrives at the manufacturing and inspection data to go on the production drawings. The complete data for the 15-tooth and 30-tooth gears used in this example are shown in Appendix E.

**Manufacturing of Plastic Gears**

**Description of Molding Dies and the Injection Molding Process.** A molded gear, of course, can be no more accurate than the molding die which produces it. Basic considerations for gear molding dies are the same as for any precision molded product. Since molded gears are usually small in relation to the size of average moldings, they lend themselves admirably to being molded in small, high speed automatic injection molding machines (20 - 80 ton range). This allows for compact dies, usually with one to eight cavities.

Several factors can be built into a molding die to produce the most accurate gear possible.

- The increased strength of case-hardened die frames will enable them to withstand the abuses of the molding process and can maintain their accuracy throughout extended useful life.
- The elimination of wear bushings allowed by hardened steel surfaces in the bores removes the additional errors resulting from less than perfect concentricity of these items.
- The mold should have a balanced runner system to provide equal pressure drops into all cavities.
- Adequate venting in the cavities will allow air to be displaced by the flow of the plastic.
- Adequate ejection systems ensure minimum distortion of the product when ejected from the die.
- Adequate interlocks between the die halves remove the misalignment of running fits provided in the guide system.

Figs. 6 and 7 are cross sections of a gear molding die. When the molding die is closed, the melted plastic resin is injected under high pressure into the gear cavities. When the resin solidifies, the molding die opens, and the plastic gear is ejected from the die. Cycle times usually range from 10 to 30 seconds, depending on the part geometry and the plastic resin used.

Several factors in a molding die can contribute to the gear's runout:
The 4-day program consists of a coordinated series of lectures given by the Engineering, Production and Inspection staffs of Illinois Tool Works Inc. They represent over sixty years of experience in gear and tool specialization.

The sessions are conducted with a technique and approach that leads to interesting discussion and participation. Starting with the basic fundamentals, the program is directed into those areas of greatest interest and value to the people attending the particular session. The groups are kept small so that this object is readily accomplished.

As mentioned, the planned program lasts four days. One-half of the fourth day is for individual discussion of specific problems in a detailed manner with members of the Illinois Tool Works' staff. More than 4,000 individuals from hundreds of companies representing manufacturing, engineering, inspection and management, have come to Chicago for these programs. They have been conducted on a monthly basis since 1958. Classes have also been conducted in Europe. We are certain that this well rounded program has helped all of them to a better job and also given them a better understanding of engineering, manufacturing and inspection.

All those attending are assigned to the same hotel. This promotes friendly contact and discussion of mutual problems and interests. Tuition for the course includes transportation from the hotel to ITW and back, one group dinner, all continental breakfasts and all lunches. We hope we may include your company in one of our Training Programs.
The .0001/.0002" running fit required between the core pin and the stationary half of the die;
- An additional .0001 to .0002" running fit will be present if sleeve ejection is required around the core pin because the solid anchor is lost;
- Because the gear cavities themselves are a series of concentric rings, the potential for runout error exists.
- Uneven plastic flow resulting from the off-center gating position on the part will introduce additional runout in the gear.

The selection of a molder should be given careful consideration. True, given a correctly designed and accurately made molding die, any competent molder can produce gears; however, not all molding companies can be classified as gear manufacturers.

A good plastic gear manufacturer should have the following capabilities:
• experience in molding a wide range of gears;
• ability to advise on product design and selection of materials;
• availability of molding presses most suitable for gear molding;
• availability of inspection equipment necessary to maintain proper quality control (such as gear checking equipment).

Gear Cavities. Popular wisdom holds that molded gears are not as accurate as machined gears. This is inaccurate. A molded gear held to the tolerance of AGMA Quality Number Q8 is just as accurate as a machined gear of the same quality number. It is true that gears have not yet been molded to the highest precision obtainable by machining, but the number of gears requiring such precision represent only a small percentage of all gears made. In general, plastic gears are usually specified AGMA quality Q6 or Q7.

The idea that molded plastic gears need not be as accurate as metal gears is based upon the contention that, because of the yielding nature of plastic, runout and tooth-to-tooth errors do not have the same ill effects. This is incorrect because plastic gear teeth that are flexing to an excessive degree because of inaccuracies will fail through fatigue and wear much earlier than accurate teeth.

All plastics shrink when changing from a molten to a solid state. As a consequence, all mold cavities must be made larger than the product specification. For example, if a molded gear is to have an outside diameter of 1.200", and the plastic has a mold shrinkage of .025 in/in, then the outside diameter of the cavity will be required to be 1.2308".

In making a gear cavity, however, it is not sufficient to take a generating hob and machine an oversize gear. Compensation
must also be made for the pressure angle of the hob. If it isn't, the result will be a molded gear with a serious profile error. It will have a larger than acceptable tooth-to-tooth error. For example, in Fig. 8a an enlargement of a 32 D.P., 20 degree P.A., gear tooth is shown, and superimposed upon it is the profile of an oversize gear tooth cut with a standard 32 D.P., 20 degree P.A. hob. Fig. 8b shows the standard gear tooth, and this time superimposed upon it is the profile of the molded tooth (after shrinkage) that would be obtained from the oversize cavity.

Note that the tooth of the molded gear departs considerably from standard. It is thicker at the root and thinner at the tip. (It has a pressure angle much in excess of 20°.)

The formula used to calculate the correct pressure angle is:

$$ \cos \phi_2 = \frac{D \cos \phi_1}{D(1+S)} $$

where:

- \( D \) = Pitch circle diameter
- \( \phi_1 \) = Pressure angle of hob
- \( \phi_2 \) = Pressure angle of molded gear
- \( S \) = Shrinkage

The teeth in the cavity must be carefully compensated for shrinkage so that, when the molded gear solidifies and becomes stable, the teeth will have the correct profile. This design work is further complicated in the case of the helical gear, because the axial shrinkage is usually different from the shrinkage across the diameter.

Compensating correctly for shrinkage in a gear requires that the mold designer have a thorough understanding of gear geometry, plus considerable experience in the shrinkage behavior of all types and grades of plastics.

The actual manufacture of accurate gear cavities is accomplished by using conventional or wire EDM machines.

**Inspection of Plastic Gears**

One practical method of checking gear accuracy is to rotate the manufactured gear in close mesh with a master gear using a center distance measuring instrument. The model shown in Fig. 9 is equipped with a dial indicator and requires that the operator note the radial displacements as the gear is rotated manually through one complete revolution.

The more sophisticated models trace the radial displacements on a moving chart (See Fig. 10.) through an electronic device.

The errors present in a gear are runout, lateral runout (wobble), pitch error, and profile error.

The pitch error plus the profile error add up to the tooth-to-tooth composite error, and this, plus the total runout, is known (continued on page 23)
as the total composite error.

As mentioned previously, the AGMA quality number is used to classify the quality of metal gears as well as plastic gears. The number specifies the gear accuracy in terms of maximum tooth-to-tooth and total composite tolerances allowed. The AGMA quality numbers and the corresponding maximum tolerances are listed in the AGMA "Gear Manual 390.03".

Summary

The injection molding process allows the gear designer to freely modify the tooth profile to obtain maximum tooth strength. These modifications will add little to the final tooling cost.

The gear design example was chosen to point out the many variables involved when designing plastic gears. The use of a systematic design approach allows the overall design problem to be broken down into smaller blocks which can be analyzed individually. Given another design with different constraints (fixed operating center distance, for example), the sequence of events in the design process would differ, but the problem would still be broken down into smaller blocks and analyzed one step at a time.

Correctly used, the systematic approach will provide a design for plastic gears having load carrying capacity, adequate contact, as close to balance tooth thickness as possible, and sufficient clearance so that no binding will occur at the extreme operating conditions.

APPENDICES

Appendix A – Tooth Forms for Plastic Gears.
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Puts it all together with exciting, new products to keep you competitive!

Gearmakers worldwide face customer demands for highest quality and on-time delivery at fiercely competitive prices. Meeting these demands requires greater flexibility, productivity and the ability to integrate new technology. Recognizing your ultimate goals – customer satisfaction and profitability – Klingelnberg global technology is ready with the most advanced CNC Spiral Bevel Gear Generators and Grinders, and the most complete line of CNC Gear Checkers available... anywhere.
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The PNC Series Gear Checkers
Compact, new CNC controlled gear checkers provide fully automatic measuring of gears up to 80" O.D. Fast operation, high accuracy, excellent documentation and a wide variety of software modules, form the perfect gear checking package.
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Appendix B – Computer Software.

If the design engineer has a personal computer and a gear software program, the time involved in designing a train of gears is reduced from many hours to a matter of minutes. It can prove to be an invaluable tool for a designer who wants to try many options in obtaining optimal gear meshes. Appendices B, C, and D describe one of the commercially available software programs for plastic gears.

Because mathematical and interpolation errors are eliminated, the designer is free to spend more time designing gears and less time solving mathematical equations.

Summary of Computer Program Features

1) Program runs on IBM PCs and compatibles.
2) Design can be for either a spur or helical gear.
3) Designer can choose either English or Metric Units.
4) One gear can be designed alone, or two meshing gears can be designed simultaneously.
5) Gear data can be saved, retrieved, printed or deleted.
6) When an input value is entered in one of the sub-program selections, it is also entered in the remaining sub-programs. For example, if the diametral pitch of 48 is entered in sub-program “A”, the user does not have to enter it again in sub-program “G”; it is already displayed there.
7) The calculations in the program have been designed similar to a spreadsheet. The user can enact “what if” variations by changing input values. The output values are immediately recalculated and displayed.

The program is a series of sub-programs which perform various calculations used in the process of designing spur and helical gears. (See Appendix C for a listing of the 12 sub-programs available in the “Main Menu”). Recognizable descriptions and symbols are employed throughout the program. The operational procedure can be mastered in less than an hour.
Appendix C — Main Menu Screen

A: SME_15T SME_30T English Spur Mode 1 New
(dsk) (gr #1) (gr #2) (unit) (type)

Plastics Gearing Technology, Inc. — Gear Design Program

***Main Menu***

A) Oper Phi, stand t, stand a, ht, and PD
B) Outside dia, root dia, and Domax (given t)
C) Minimum circular tooth thickness to avoid undercutting
D) Circular tooth thicknesses for balanced tooth strengths
E) CMCD, minimum operating center distance
F) Delta C
G) t1 + t2
H) Contact ratio, RA, AA, %RA
I) Gear testing radius (min and max)
J) Measurement over pins (min and max)
K) Horsepower ratings
L) Check and/or print gear data specified on drawing
M) Exit

Enter menu selection — >> M

Description of abbreviations used in the above Main Menu

Oper Phi: operating pressure angle
stand t: standard circular tooth thickness
stand a: standard addendum
ht: whole depth
PD: pitch diameter
Domax: maximum allowable outside diameter
t: circular tooth thickness
CMCD: close mesh center distance
Delta C: required increase in center distance
t1 + t2: sum of the tooth thicknesses for a given CMCD
RA: recess action
AA: approach action
%RA: percent recess action

Appendix D — Example of a Sub-program Screen (For Contact Ratio Calculation).

C: SME_15T SME_30T English Spur Mode 1 Calc Page 1 of 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter Description</th>
<th>Contact ratio, RA, AA, %RA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Symbol</td>
<td>Gr#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>number of teeth</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>number of teeth</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>diametral pitch</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>outside diameter</td>
<td>Do</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>outside diameter</td>
<td>Do</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>operating center distance</td>
<td>OCD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>recess action</td>
<td>RA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>approach action</td>
<td>AA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>contact ratio</td>
<td>CR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>percent recess action</td>
<td>%RA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

User Note:
Gear 1 is the drive gear and
Gear 2 is the driven gear.

F1 Help F2 Type F3 Mode F4 F5 Dir F6 ID1 F7 New F8 Ctrl1 F9 Ret1 F10 Sav1
Unit Mon Disk Swap ID2 Del Ctrl2 Ret2 Sav2

Equa F4 Calc F5 Dir F6 ID1 PgUp Prev page Esc Exit
Mon Swap ID2 PgDn Next Page
## Appendix E — Manufacturing and Inspection Data

For The Pinion and Gear

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PINION DATA</th>
<th>GEAR DATA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Basic Specifications</strong></td>
<td><strong>Basic Specifications</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>number of teeth</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>diametral pitch</td>
<td>48.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pressure angle</td>
<td>20.00°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>standard pitch diameter</td>
<td>0.3125&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGT tooth form</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>standard addendum</td>
<td>0.0281&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>standard whole depth</td>
<td>0.0631&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>circ tooth thickness max at PCD</td>
<td>0.04340&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>circ tooth thickness min at PCD</td>
<td>0.04240&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Manufacturing and Inspection</strong></td>
<td><strong>Manufacturing and Inspection</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gear testing radius max</td>
<td>0.1716&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gear testing radius min</td>
<td>0.1677&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGMA quality number</td>
<td>Q7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>max total composite tol of gear</td>
<td>0.0026&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>max tooth-to-tooth comp tolerance</td>
<td>0.0016&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>number of teeth in master gear</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>circ tooth thickness of master gear</td>
<td>0.03270&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>testing pressure</td>
<td>8.0 oz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>diameter of measuring pins</td>
<td>0.0400&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>measurement over two pins (max)</td>
<td>0.3920&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>measurement over two pins (min)</td>
<td>0.3903&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>max allowable outside diameter</td>
<td>0.3826&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>outside diameter tol (+ tol)</td>
<td>0.0000&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>outside diameter tol (− tol)</td>
<td>0.0030&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>max root diameter</td>
<td>0.2718&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Engineering References</strong></th>
<th><strong>Engineering References</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mating gear part number</td>
<td>30T gear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>number of teeth in mating gear</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>max operating center distance</td>
<td>0.4882&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>min operating center distance</td>
<td>0.4852&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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